Case 1:09-cv-00519-CG-M Document 1 Filed 08/11/09 Page 1 of 5 153 06

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA **ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

DAN J. QUALE Plaintiff, Prose'

v.

Unifund CCR Partners, Defendant National City Card Services, Co-Defendant

COMPLAINT

FILED ALC OF PM 1053 USDCALS. Carr PLAINTIFF Dan J. Quale sues defendants Unifund CCR Partners and National City Card Services for money damages and states:

JURISDICTIONAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This is an action for money damages in excess of \$75,000.
- 2. At all times material to the lawsuit, Dan J. Quale was a resident of Washington County, Al.
- 3. At all times material to the lawsuit, Defendants engaged in business in Alabama.
- 4. All acts necessary or precedent to the bringing of this lawsuit occurred or accrued in Alabama.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- The Defendant is a 3rd party debt collector in Cincinnati, Ohio, as such is governed under the
- 7. law by The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 USC §1601, et.seq. The Co-Defendant is
- 8. a credit lender and as such governed under the law by The Fair Credit Reporting Act 15 USC
- 9. §1681, et seq. and also reports these accounts to the national credit reporting agencies i.e.:
- 10. TransUnion; Equifax; Experian; and Innovis.

- 11. The State of Alabama abides by and adheres to: The Fair Credit Reporting Act and The Fair
- 12. Debt Collection Practices Act. The Defendants are governed under these laws.
- 13. The Plaintiff contests ever having any "legitimate" contractual agreement for credit, loans,
- 14. or services with the Defendants. Even if the Plaintiff did have such a "legitimate" agreement,
- 15. which the Plaintiff contests, the "alleged" debt is not the issue. The issue is how the
- 16. "alleged" debt was or was not validated. Also, the wrongful actions of the Defendants in an
- 17. attempt to collect and report the "alleged" debt. The Plaintiff's civil rights have been
- 18. violated since the Defendants did not adhere to the law as outlined in the stated Acts.
- 19. On or about 12/26/2007 the Defendant contacted the Plaintiff by U.S. mail indicating they
- 20. currently own or are servicing "alleged" debt. Plaintiff responded to Defendant disputing
- 21. "alleged" debt on 1/3/2008 and requesting validation via returned affidavit within 30 days.
- 22. Affidavit has not been received to this date, hence "alleged" debt has not been validated and
- 23. remains in dispute.

COUNT ONE: VIOLATION OF "THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT" [§ 809. Validation of Debts]

- 24. Plaintiff realleges and restates the foregoing jurisdictional allegations and general factual
- 25. allegations.
- 26. Sufficient validation of "alleged" debt has not been received by Plaintiff. Defendants have
- 27. entered derogatory information into the Plaintiff's Experian and Innovis Credit Reports.
- 28. Derogatory data remains within Plaintiff's Credit Report to this date.
- 29. Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of: \$36,000.
- 30. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for money damages against Defendants

- 31. together with such other and further relief as the Court may deem reasonable and just under
- 32. the circumstances.

COUNT TWO: 1st VIOLATION OF "THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT" [§ 623]

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and restates the foregoing jurisdictional allegations and general factual
- 34. allegations.
- 35. Defendants, having failed to validate "alleged" debt, reported "erroneous and inaccurate"
- 36. information to: Experian and Innovis Credit Reporting Agencies to this date.
- 37. Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of: \$36,000.
- 38. Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment for money damages against Defendants together
- 39. with such other and further relief as the Court may deem reasonable and just under the
- 40. circumstances.

COUNT THREE: 2nd VIOLATION OF "THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT" [§ 623]

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and restates the foregoing jurisdictional allegations and general factual
- 42. allegations.
- 43. Defendants have failed to "notice" Experian and Innovis Credit Reporting Agencies that the
- 44. "alleged" debt was in dispute to this date.
- 45. Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of: \$36,000.
- 46. Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment for money damages against Defendants together
- 47. with such other and further relief as the Court may deem reasonable and just under the
- 48. circumstances.

- 49. WHEREFORE, the defendants have violated The Fair Credit Reporting Act and The Fair
- 50. Debt Collection Practices Act, Plaintiff demands judgment in the amount of: \$108,000, plus
- 51. all costs of this action along with punitive damages in the amount of \$150,000 or as the
- 52. Court may allow along with Private Attorney General fees of \$3,000 as prescribed by law.
- 53. Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment for money damages against Defendants together with
- 54. such other and further relief as the Court may deem reasonable and just under the
- 55. circumstances.

STATEMENT UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED

- 56. 1. A settlement agreement between the Plaintiff and the Defendants that the Defendants
- 57. shall remove any derogatory information and inquires from all four major credit-reporting
- 58. agencies: TransUnion; Equifax; Experian; and Innovis and any other known credit
- 59. reporting agencies Defendants have used or may use in the future.
- 60. 2. Defendants must provide a letter and or Universal Data Form indicating they have
- 61. completed such and send same to the Plaintiff.
- 62. 3. The Defendant will be barred now or in the future from selling or transferring of the
- 63. "alleged" debt to any other collection agency or attorney or entity and also barred now
- and in the future from re-entering this information into the Plaintiff's credit reports.
- 65. 4. The Defendants must cease and desist any further collection activities against the Plaintiff
- and the Defendant may not Sell and Transfer the alleged account to any other Collection
- 67. Agency or Attorney or entity now or in the future.
- 68. 5. Payment of: \$108,000 for their violations.
- 69. 6. Payment of: \$3,000 in Private Attorney General fees.

- 70. 7. Payment of Damages as allowed by the Court and Court Costs.
- 71. 8. Eradicate any and all judgments pertaining to "alleged" debt.
- 72. Respectfully submitted this 11th Day of August 2009.

Dan J. Quale, PLAINTIFF

45 Mt Carmel Rd Millry, Al, 36558

(251) 846-6224

impactap@millry.net